## **EXHIBIT 22**

## REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 180 Page 178 1 well, if you are asking me to confirm the math, the 1 of disgorgement that remain in your report? 2 answer is yes. I -- I don't think it's directly A. I think it's just the either/or. It's 3 applicable, however, that it's the -- the 3 either the nothing or everything. 4 hypothetical negotiation is a different construct An economist has his head -- it's a hard 5 than -- than the disgorgement. 5 time to get head around how you think about valuing So as I said earlier to Mr. Ragland, 6 things without thinking about what alternatives are 7 in -- I don't think you can lift a parameter out of 7 available. And I -- I don't mean this to be nasty in 8 one and apply it to the other. 8 Q. (By Ms. Hurst) All right. So let's 9 any sense, but I just think Mr. Malackowski is 10 wrong about this matter, that is embedded in his 10 explore that for a second. Professional Kearl, do you think, though, 11 analysis is a but-for. And the but-for in his is, 11 12 to the extent that both of those constructs are 12 Android would not exist. But that's still a 13 designed to try to measure value, they should still 13 but-for analysis. I mean, if you are going to 14 come out in roughly the same ballpark? 14 give -- if you are going to allocate all of the A. No. Because they are -- they are trying 15 profits, disgorge all of the profits, you're really 16 to measure something different. As -- as I 16 saying that it wouldn't be here except for these 17 37 APIs. That in -- the way I'm using but-for, 17 understand it, disgorgement is that you take away 18 from the infringer all of the gain they got from 18 that's a but-for analysis. 19 using the product. Q. Now, going back to that constructive 19 20 The hypothetical negotiation is, you ask, 20 license royalty from the last time, it was about 21 what's the expected incremental contribution of the 21 20 percent on gross ad revenues, was what you 22 technology to the profits of the infringer. And 22 concluded that royalty would be, in addition to the 23 then as I said earlier, then how would the parties 23 \$100 million; is that right? 24 agree to split that in some way, and then how would 24 A. I would have to go back and look at the 25 report for the details, so -- but I don't remember. 25 they then monetize that in terms of a royalty or a Page 179 1 I mean, it's in that range, yes. 1 royalty rate. Q. Do you recall that there was an element And the disgorgement is ex post, that is 2 3 it looks at, you know, how much Google made from 3 of it that was 20 percent of the gross margin ad 4 revenues? 4 this. The constructive license hypothetical 5 negotiation says, at the time before infringement A. Yes. 6 occurs, when they were looking forward, how Q. And if you accept -- well, the parties 7 roughly agree on what the gross ad revenues are 7 profitable do they think it would be. And there's 8 no reason why the ex-post profit should be equal to 8 here, right? A. I think it's not just roughly, they 9 the ex-ante expected profits. 10 agree. 10 O. Unless their forecasts were good. Q. They have agreed. A. Yes, unless they forecast -- unless they 11 12 were clairvoyant and saw exactly what the future 12 A. Yes. O. And that number --13 13 was. A. Well, I don't know that the parties 14 14

- 15 agree. The experts have essentially the same
- 16 ad revenues.
- 17 Q. And that's 18

A. Yeah, it's almost and then

19 sort of comes down from there.

- O. All right. So if we go with the 20 21 the total pool of revenue, just applying your
- 22 20 percent to that, that would be
- 23 right?
- MR. RAGLAND: Objection. Form. 24
- THE DEPONENT: Yeah, but I -- that --25

- Q. And do you recall that your table 2 in
- 15 your first report had a forecast of about
- as -- at the same point in time that
- 17 we're now looking at
- MR. RAGLAND: Objection. Form. 18
- THE DEPONENT: Yeah, let's be careful 19
- 20 about whose forecast this was.
- 21 Q. (By Ms. Hurst) Right. My apologies.
- 22 It -- it recited a Google forecast.
- A. Yes. There was the -- the -- in my first 23
- 24 report, I faced the same problem I face in this
- 25 report, which is you had widely differing

46 (Pages 178 - 181)